

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,432	09/25/2003	Jerome Blondeau	L7307.03159	4252
7590 07/27/2006 STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
			HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN	
Suite 850 1615 L. Street,	N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036			3736	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/669,432	BLONDEAU, JEROME	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	3736	

Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALI	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of a this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affi places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mutime periods:	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origi set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	of the fee. The appropr nally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief,		ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reappeal; and/or 	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, non-allowable claim(s).		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ll be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a No because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidav was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> rit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appearshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after exequest for RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N 13. Other:	lo(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment(s) raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search in evaluating the merits of patentability, for example the proposed biasing and firing of the needle and the cannula is structurally divegent and substantially dissimilar than previously positively recited.

MAX F. HINDENBURG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700